

## **HOW TO READ THIS TABLE**

**This table** tells us how different specialties have done in terms of average compensation over a 20-year period for select provinces.

**1996 line:** Average gross fee-forservice (FFS) payment per physician who received at least \$100,000 in fee-for-service payments for 1995/96

**2016 line:** Average gross payment per physician who received at least \$100,000 for 2015/16. However, Alberta and Saskatchen numbers are gross FFS payment because gross payment data is not released at the specialty level for these two provinces.

**Up line:** Percentage increase over 20 years. Thus "100%" means compensation doubled.

\* Data was suppressed because there were between 1 and 4 doctors.

n/a No doctors.

So why are we comparing FFS in 1995/96 to 2015/16 gross payments? Well, pretty much every doctor was FFS in 1995/96 so that was the whole game then and CIHI only began reporting average gross payments at the specialty level in 2014/15. But with the rise of alternate payment plans in the last two decades, gross payments are now the more accurate measure of the totality of full-time doctor compensation. CIHI prepared this data at the request of the *Medical Post* and we cut off all doctors billing less than \$100,000 to try and make this a measure of full-time clinical doctors.

## Why not pathology and radiology?

For these specialties, the proportion of funding that comes from the medical care plan versus hospital budgets is not consistent across the country so CIHI does not think it appropriate to include these specialties.

SPECIALTY		N.L.	P.E.I.	N.S.	N.B.	ONT.	MAN.	SASK.	ALTA.	B.C.	AVERAGE
Family medicine	1996: 2016: up:	\$174,698 \$269,646 <b>54</b> %	\$199,159 \$305,091 <b>53</b> %	\$162,098 \$259,368 <b>60%</b>	\$179,154 \$293,636 <b>64</b> %	\$217,799 \$363,879 <mark>67</mark> %	\$167,286 \$343,048 <b>105</b> %	\$191,290 \$303,561 <b>59</b> %	\$186,223 \$364,447 <b>96</b> %	\$187,970 \$273,416 <b>45</b> %	\$191,915 \$317,853 <b>66%</b>
Internal medicine	1996: 2016: up:	\$238,486 \$436,945 <b>83</b> %	\$246,714 \$463,958 <b>88</b> %	\$196,156 \$295,296 <b>51</b> %	\$275,917 \$439,872 <b>59%</b>	\$354,539 \$451,670 <b>27</b> %	\$255,258 \$458,082 <b>79</b> %	\$316,278 \$496,769 <b>57%</b>	\$249,913 \$588,641 <b>136</b> %	\$289,521 \$435,394 <b>50%</b>	\$283,692 \$438,705 <b>55%</b>
Cardiology	1996: 2016: up:	N/A \$584,346	N/A N/A	N/A \$281,824	N/A \$622,797	N/A \$650,086	N/A \$673,892	N/A \$891,407	N/A \$683,480	N/A \$731,072	N/A \$613,164
Gastroenterology	1996: 2016: up:	N/A \$469,470	N/A N/A	N/A \$260,663	N/A \$570,206	N/A \$594,988	N/A \$620,404	N/A \$721,966	N/A \$624,139	N/A \$580,685	N/A \$539,637
Neurology	1996: 2016: up:	\$135,390 \$330,510: 144%	N/A *	\$164,235 \$330,392 101%	\$219,815 \$392,398 <b>79</b> %	\$295,618 \$337,551 14%	\$206,231 \$381,613 <b>85</b> %	\$231,278 \$368,451 <b>59%</b>	\$224,991 \$471,462 110%	\$254,058 \$339,066 <b>33%</b>	\$237,741 \$343,355 <b>44</b> %
Psychiatry	1996: 2016: up:	\$228,027 \$293,396 2 <mark>9</mark> %	\$265,807 \$369,571 <b>39</b> %	\$183,733 \$272,937 <b>49%</b>	\$193,039 \$328,161 <b>70</b> %	\$196,801 \$268,993 <b>37</b> %	\$168,191 \$335,920 100%	\$241,574 \$342,753 <b>42</b> %	\$188,835 \$398,027 111%	\$172,824 \$293,456 <b>70</b> %	\$184,897 \$316,088 <b>71</b> %
Pediatrics	1996: 2016: up:	\$150,401 \$308,160 <b>105</b> %	\$194,707 \$349,670 <b>80</b> %	\$172,208 \$253,640 <b>47</b> %	\$206,666 \$329,349 <b>59</b> %	\$256,123 \$317,349 <b>24</b> %	\$184,301 \$352,301 <b>91</b> %	\$187,732 \$308,691 <b>64</b> %	\$201,029 \$381,913 <b>90</b> %	\$229,465 \$321,331 <b>40</b> %	\$217,779 \$332,683 <b>53%</b>
Dermatology	1996: 2016: up:	* \$535,696	*	\$301,945 \$421,123 <b>39</b> %	\$212,409 \$546,215 157%	\$304,102 \$425,129 <b>40</b> %	\$257,487 \$829,832 <b>222</b> %	\$202,291 \$362,511 <b>79</b> %	\$358,774 \$915,752 <b>155</b> %	\$302,332 \$372,443 <b>23</b> %	\$277,443 \$429,064 <b>55%</b>
Physical medicine	1996: 2016: up:	N/A *	N/A *	\$161,290 \$245,272 <b>52</b> %	\$159,942 \$342,885 114%	\$223,485 \$312,493 <b>40</b> %	\$118,318 \$402,197 <b>240</b> %	* \$789,940	\$253,063 \$409,867 <b>62</b> %	\$190,868 \$245,589 <b>29</b> %	\$210,957 \$315,155 <b>49%</b>
Anesthesia	1996: 2016: up:	\$174,685 \$430,271 <b>146</b> %	\$156,788 \$340,300 117%	\$178,592 \$335,704 <b>88</b> %	\$179,509 \$350,467 <b>95</b> %	\$218,632 \$456,248 109%	\$124,792 \$423,406 <b>239</b> %	\$191,632 \$335,507 <b>75</b> %	\$225,586 \$430,401 <b>91</b> %	\$197,689 \$406,214 105%	\$202,794 \$438,704 116%
General surgery	1996: 2016: up:	\$248,770 \$375,041 <b>51</b> %	\$330,000 \$466,250 <b>41</b> %	\$243,973 \$393,223 <b>61</b> %	\$271,900 \$471,847 <b>74</b> %	\$298,659 \$489,009 <b>64</b> %	\$228,634 \$528,766 <b>131%</b>	\$232,829 \$424,174 <b>82</b> %	\$270,236 \$545,603 <b>102</b> %	\$283,865 \$472,506 <b>66%</b>	\$264,495 \$485,373 <b>84</b> %
Thor./card. surg.	1996: 2016: up:	\$324,316 \$552,798 <b>70</b> %	N/A N/A	\$287,214 \$429,063 <b>49</b> %	\$272,542 \$510,788 <mark>87%</mark>	\$455,408 \$631,753 <b>39%</b>	\$302,892 \$550,432 <b>82%</b>	\$471,108 \$927,649 <mark>97%</mark>	\$471,565 \$850,383 <b>80%</b>	\$398,759 \$658,323 <b>65</b> %	\$328,749 \$600,367 <b>83</b> %
Urology	1996: 2016: up:	\$348,607 \$585,034 <b>68%</b>	* \$397,922	\$220,176 \$510,716 132%	\$267,263 \$479,650 <del>79</del> %	\$325,342 \$513,590 <b>58%</b>	\$237,963 \$477,249 <b>101</b> %	\$276,180 \$466,884 <b>69</b> %	\$277,687 \$659,235 <b>137</b> %	\$348,191 \$506,379 <b>45</b> %	\$293,716 \$495,491 <b>69</b> %
Orthopedic surgery	1996: 2016: up:	\$234,381 \$467,285 <b>99</b> %	* \$467,101	\$292,163 \$369,726 <b>27</b> %	\$278,003 \$385,465 <b>39</b> %	\$299,776 \$483,550 <b>61</b> %	\$218,022 \$467,923 115%	\$319,914 \$602,010 <b>88</b> %	\$283,385 \$547,332 <b>93</b> %	\$257,613 \$433,510 <b>68%</b>	\$266,491 \$455,477 <b>71</b> %
Plastic surgery	1996: 2016: up:	* \$523,132	N/A *	\$250,034 \$416,366 <b>67</b> %	\$238,229 \$444,514 <b>87</b> %	\$277,740 \$392,923 41%	\$271,866 \$666,766 <b>145</b> %	\$229,263 \$500,063 118%	\$290,391 \$572,744 <b>97</b> %	\$249,553 \$451,386 <b>81</b> %	\$246,644 \$409,468 <b>66%</b>
Neurosurgery	1996: 2016: up:	*	N/A N/A	\$214,453 \$534,445 149%	\$192,912 \$522,121 171%	\$285,939 \$639,470 <b>124</b> %	\$212,947 \$751,094 <b>253</b> %	\$304,088 \$868,139 <b>185</b> %	\$266,925 \$206,964 -22%**	\$299,715 \$631,166 111%	\$265,308 \$581,543 119%
Ophthalmology	1996: 2016: up:	\$317,703 \$807,930 <b>154</b> %	* \$587,965	\$307,850 \$675,592 119%	\$342,394 \$780,617 128%	\$358,074 \$773,604 116%	\$372,970 \$916,754 <b>146</b> %	\$469,572 \$1,176,179 <b>150</b> %	\$372,374 \$1,254,770 237%	\$365,702 \$944,698 <b>158</b> %	\$329,728 \$776,914 <b>136</b> %
Otolaryngology	1996: 2016: up:	\$365,174 \$607,816 <b>66%</b>	*	\$275,158 \$400,445 <b>46</b> %	\$264,708 \$486,999 <b>84%</b>	\$369,568 \$455,991 <b>23</b> %	\$185,568 \$485,192 <b>161%</b>	\$396,483 \$561,761 <b>42%</b>	\$337,478 \$709,517 110%	\$260,638 \$489,507 <b>88%</b>	\$301,362 \$460,542 53%
Ob/gyn	1996: 2016: up:	\$256,876 \$391,703 <b>52</b> %	\$294,050 \$458,739 <b>56</b> %	\$227,961 \$308,682 <b>35%</b>	\$226,835 \$396,918 <b>75</b> %	\$314,454 \$460,463 46%	\$232,205 \$424,654 <b>83</b> %	\$290,905 \$467,004 <b>61%</b>	\$281,643 \$540,018 <b>92</b> %	\$270,019 \$381,549 <b>41</b> %	\$273,722 \$445,515 <b>63</b> %
Total specialists (excluding FPs)	1996: 2016: up:	\$239,831 \$415,065 <b>73</b> %	\$258,616 \$428,334 <b>66</b> %	\$221,054 \$335,597 <b>52%</b>	\$245,560 \$420,936 <b>71</b> %	\$290,007 \$428,306 <b>48</b> %	\$218,640 \$452,777 <b>107</b> %	\$275,556 \$480,774 <b>74</b> %	\$257,493 \$539,406 <b>109</b> %	\$260,051 \$423,353 <b>63</b> %	\$254,421 \$429,133 <b>69%</b>
TOTAL DOCTORS	1996: 2016: up:	\$203,214 \$340,027 <b>67</b> %	\$218,442 \$355,341 <b>63</b> %	\$188,854 \$298,514 <b>58</b> %	\$207,023 \$351,224 <b>70</b> %	\$251,909 \$396,250 <b>57</b> %	\$192,963 \$395,056 <b>105</b> %	\$223,585 \$387,907 <b>73</b> %	\$215,683 \$431,061 100%	\$216,395 \$342,438 <b>58</b> %	\$220,870 \$371,917 <b>68%</b>

Why no comparson—"Up" line—data for cardiology and gastroenterology? Those two specialties were grouped under internal medicine in 1996 so it isn't possible to compare change over 20 years.

Why no Quebec data? The data-sharing agreements between the government of Quebec and CIHI does not authorize CIHI to release 1995/96 data for Quebec so we excluded the province here.◆

ONTARIO
57%
Province with
SMALLEST 20-year
compensation
increase rate

MANITOBA
105%
Province with
BIGGEST 20-year
compensation
increase rate

NEUROLOGY
44%
Specialty with
SMALLEST average
increase rate

136%
Specialty with
LARGEST average
increase rate

**FPs 20-YEAR REMUNERATION INCREASE** Manitoba 1 up 105% Alberta **↑** up 96% Ontario **↑** up 67% N.B. **↑** up 64% N.S. **↑** up 60% Sask. **↑** up 59% N.L. **↑** up 54% P.E.I. **↑** up 53% B.C. **↑** up 45%

## **BIGGEST OVERALL INCREASES**

- 1. 253% Manitoba neurosurgeons
- 2. 240% Manitoba physical medicine
- 3. 239% Manitoba anesthesiologists
- 4. 237% Alberta ophthalmologists

## SMALLEST OVERALL INCREASES

- 1. 14% Ontario neurologists
- 2. 23% Ontario otolaryngologists
- 3. 23% B.C. dermatologists
- 4. 24% Ontario pediatricians

INFLATION?
45%
The consumer price index rose 45% between 1996 and 2016.

\*\* Wait. Alberta neurologists' income fell 22% over 20 years? Probably not. Remember we had to use FFS averages for Alberta and Saskatchewan for 2015/16 numbers and it is known that neurosurgery is a specialty predominately paid through alternative payment plans. So this is surely a false negative as the decrease is more likely due to a shift in payment programs as opposed to less pay per physician. These are the numbers but other Alberta and Saskatchewan results with specialties where there has been a significant shift to alternative payment plans will also be influenced.

10 FEBRUARY 2018 THE MEDICAL POST
THE MEDICAL POST